

CONSTRUCT VALIDITY OF A MEASURE OF AFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION IN PSYCHOTHERAPY

Kevin B. Meehan, PhD
*Long Island University, Brooklyn
Campus*

Kenneth N. Levy, PhD
*Pennsylvania State University and Weill
Medical College of Cornell University*

John F. Clarkin, PhD
Weill Medical College of Cornell University

This study evaluated the psychometric properties of a therapist measure for evaluating the affective communication created between the patient and therapist during the initial stages of treatment. The Affective Communication Questionnaire (ACQ) was administered to a sample of 81 therapists, each rating a single patient, and principal component analysis indicated the measure has coherent dimensions with strong internal consistency. The construct validity of the ACQ was then established in a sample of 16 therapists rating 73 patients diagnosed with borderline personality disorder. The measure was found to have a strong relationship to the related constructs of transference, countertransference, and affect experience in predicted directions. The measure also was found to have a modest relationship to independent assessments of patient functioning, most notably more negative affect was significantly related to more odd or eccentric (Cluster A) and less anxious or fearful (Cluster C) personality disorder symptoms, and greater narrative coherence. Differences in affective communi-

Kevin B. Meehan, PhD, Department of Psychology, Long Island University, Brooklyn Campus; Kenneth N. Levy, PhD, Department of Psychology, Pennsylvania State University, and Weill Medical College of Cornell University; and John F. Clarkin, PhD, Department of Psychiatry, Weill Medical College of Cornell University.

This research was supported by grants from the Research Fund of the International Psychoanalytic Association to the three authors, the FAR Fund of New York to Kevin B. Meehan, PhD, the National Institute of Mental Health (F32 MH12530) and the Kohler Fund of Munich to Kenneth N. Levy, PhD, and the Borderline Personality Disorder Research Foundation to John F. Clarkin, PhD, and Otto F. Kernberg. We thank Dr. Elliot L. Jurist and Dr. Diana Diamond for comments and guidance on an earlier version of this work. We also thank Lucy Bichsel for assistance with data collection. Finally, we thank anonymous reviewers for their constructive suggestions.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Kevin B. Meehan, PhD, Department of Psychology, Long Island University, 1 University Plaza, Brooklyn, NY 11201. E-mail: kevin.meehan@liu.edu

cation as a function of treatment type were also evaluated. The clinical and research implications of the findings are discussed.

Keywords: affect, transference, countertransference, psychotherapy, personality disorder

One of the predominant theories of change in psychoanalytically oriented psychotherapy, particularly with regard to more disturbed patients and patients organized at the borderline level, focuses on the movement from the discharge of impulses to the symbolization of affective experience (Bion, 1961; Fonagy, Gergely, Jurist, & Target, 2002; Freedman & Berzofsky, 1995; Kernberg, 1975; Mayes & Cohen, 1992; Pine, 1985). Although there are differences among the proponents of such theories, one of the many unifying components of such models focus on the distinction between unconscious versus conscious affective experience, both in terms of how it is experienced internally by the patient and how it becomes expressed in the psychotherapy. The task of metabolizing, organizing, and giving meaning to the patient's unconscious affect being communicated to the therapist nonverbally is viewed as essential to psychotherapeutic intervention. However, to date, researchers have not systematically measured this affective communication between the patient and the therapist in psychotherapy. The purpose of the present research was to evaluate a method for reliably assessing affective communication in psychotherapy, and to examine its relationship to patient characteristics that bear significantly on psychotherapeutic outcome.

Affective Communication in Psychotherapy

Although describing a predominant view of the process of change in psychotherapy risks smoothing over essential differences in theory and technique, it can be broadly stated that object relations-oriented theorists would describe treatment for patients organized at the borderline level in terms of the movement from the discharge of impulses to the symbolization of affective experience (Bion, 1961; Kernberg, 1975). Due to impairments in the internalization of representations of self in emotion-laden interactions with others, the internal worlds of patients with borderline pathology are thought to be characterized by unintegrated affect-laden mental representations that may be unconsciously communicated to the therapist through the patient's affective experience. When the patient is filled with painful affects and self states that are too intolerable to experience consciously, rather than locate these affects within the self, the patient projects these affects onto the therapist (Blatt & Ford, 1994; Fonagy et al., 2002; Kernberg, 1984).

Due to the contagious nature of affect, the therapist is likely to identify with, experience, and possibly enact the feelings that the patient is evoking (Westen & Gabbard, 2002b). The therapist may experience him- or herself as filled with intense feelings, thoughts, images, and fantasies that over time the therapist can come to identify and eventually verbalize to the patient. The therapist internally processes and eventually translates the patient's unconscious affect into more symbolic representations of affect. In doing so, the therapist metabolizes the affective experience, gives organization and meaning to it, and gives it back to the patient in a more tolerable form (Bion, 1961; Kernberg, 1975; Winnicott, 1971).

Through the process of organizing and representing the patient's affective experience, there is a shift from discharge of affects through action to symbolic expression through

language (Pine, 1985). The development of higher-order representations of constitutional affect states is thought to be not only essential for the delay and control of strong affects, but is also related to the developmental maturity of mental representations of self and significant others (Diamond & Blatt, 1994; Levy, 2000).

Kernberg (1975, 1984) has emphasized that there are three channels by which the patient communicates his or her internal experience to the therapist: the content of the patient's language, the patient's nonverbal communication, and the therapist's countertransference. Although feelings that the patient can identify and articulate are primarily communicated through the first channel, aporetic feelings are primarily communicated through the latter channels. Kernberg has argued that, due to impairments in the internalization of representations of self in emotion-laden interactions with others, the internal experience of patients with borderline pathology are characterized by unconscious affect-laden mental representations that are split off and unintegrated with one another. As a result, the patient may be unaware that the content of his or her language is unintegrated with, and often contradicted by, what is communicated through affect and action.

Jurist (2005) also made a distinction between affects within and outside of awareness when he distinguished between strong feelings, in which the patient knows what he or she feels and can identify and articulate the experience, and aporetic feelings, in which the patient's affect is experienced as vague, diffuse, often contradictory, and confusing. This does not suggest that aporetic feelings are not strongly felt; in fact, they are often quite powerful, but their unformulated quality belies the patient's capacity to know how he or she feels. Thus such feelings are not communicated through the patient's conscious expression through language, but rather are inferred through the therapist's affective experience of the patient in sessions.

Westen (1990, 1998; Westen & Gabbard, 2002a, 2002b) has integrated psychoanalytic models with findings from cognitive neuroscience to elaborate the mental structures that underlie distinctions between conscious and unconscious affective experience. These literatures each contribute to a growing consensus that cognitive, affective, and motivational components of mental representations are distinct but interacting, and often competing, systems. Further, any of these components of a representation can be highly active and influence behavior and yet not be available to consciousness.

To demonstrate the influence of unconscious affects on behavior, Westen (1998) cited a rich body of research on prejudice. He cited Fazio, Jackson, Dunton, and Williams's (1995) ground-breaking study in which they demonstrated that implicit racial attitudes measured by reaction times to adjectives when presented with Black and White faces predicted the degree to which a Black confederate later rated the subject as friendly or cold during a brief interaction. Study subjects' demeanors toward the Black confederate were unrelated to conscious racial attitudes, but powerfully related to implicit affective associations. This research suggested that although an individual's affective experience may not be consciously represented, such affect may nonetheless exert influence on behavior in ways of which the individual is unaware, but indications of this affect are most evident in a relational context, and perhaps most identifiable by the recipient of this unconscious affect.

Such findings are consistent with clinical observation that the most telling information on the patient's affective experience often comes not from the conscious report of their affect, but rather is inferred through the therapist's own affective experience of the patient. Further, the degree to which there is less integration of the patient's affect-laden introjects, the more the therapist's phenomenological experience of the patient must be relied on to interpret the affect being communicated. Because this affective experience is cocreated

between patient and therapist, affective communication can be best conceptualized as an “interactional structure” in the therapy (Jones, 2000).

Bucci (1997), also integrating psychoanalytic and cognitive models, nicely described the phenomenological experience of the therapist when listening to a patient whose language, imagery, and emotional experience are highly integrated, indicative of high referential activity. By way of example, she drew a parallel to a reader’s experience of being drawn into a story—as the reader engaged the narrative, pictures and fantasized sensory images came to mind. In a similar fashion, if a patient were to use language that is imagistic during a session, the therapist would feel enlivened as images and associations were evoked in the therapist. This experience would reflect the multiple sensory and information neural networks integrated to create vividness to the patient’s narrative.

Freedman and Berzofsky (1995) made a similar observation when they differentiated between talking and “language deployed in the service of a communicated wish . . . a wish to impart inner objects—the introjects—into the consciousness of the listening analyst and therapist as object” (p. 366), the latter being indicative of what they called a communicated transference. This manifests in the patient’s capacity to present a rich and coherent narrative in which feelings are put into words, and ambivalence is tolerated. The therapist in turn feels enlivened by the patient, and experiences the richness of his or her language as filled with imagery and affect. They contrasted this with less integrated narratives that are disorganized or difficult to follow, barren, and deplete of affect and imagery, leading the therapist to feel disengaged from the patient and to experience boredom and sleepiness.

Overall, this literature suggests that when patients arouse an affective experience in psychotherapy, positive or negative, they may be unconsciously communicating their unintegrated affect-laden introjects to the therapist. The degree to which therapists feel that their attention and curiosity have been engaged, that they experience the patient’s language as fresh and vivid, or alternately feel bored by and disengaged from the material, this interaction represents an essential affective communication that allows for the eventual articulation and integration of what previously could not be consciously represented but only felt intersubjectively.

Research on Affective Experience in Psychotherapy

In the clinical psychodynamic tradition, although there has been much rich exploration of affective experiences between patient and therapist in psychotherapy from the standpoint of theory, case studies, and qualitative studies, very little empirical research has focused on constructs related to the affective communication we have described. In the psychotherapy research tradition, although there has been much research focused on the patient’s experiencing of emotion in session (Greenberg & Safran, 1987), less attention has been paid to affective experience as an “interactional structure” created between patient and therapist (Jones, 2000).

Westen and colleagues have examined transference and countertransference patterns in psychotherapy that relate in significant ways to affective communication (Betan, Heim, Conklin, & Westen, 2005; Bradley, Heim, & Westen, 2005). Their research, in which clinicians are asked to fill out questionnaires about their patients, has indicated that affective experience in sessions differs as a function of personality symptoms as defined by the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*,

Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV). The transference patterns associated with Cluster A symptoms were characterized by a cold and unengaged stance toward the therapist, and the therapists' countertransferential experience was one of feeling criticized and mistreated. The transference patterns associated with Cluster B symptoms were characterized as an angry, entitled, and sexualized stance toward the therapist, and therapists' countertransferential experience was one of feeling overwhelmed, disorganized, helpless, and disengaged. The transference patterns associated with Cluster C symptoms were characterized as anxious and preoccupied, and therapists' countertransferential experience was one of feeling parental and protective toward the patient. This research suggests that the nature of the patient's personality pathology will differentially contribute to how the patient's unconscious affective experience is communicated in treatment.

Westen and colleagues have also examined affect regulation and experience patterns in patients through the use of clinician ratings (Westen, Muderrisoglu, Fowler, Shedler, & Koren, 1997; Conklin, Bradley, & Westen, 2006). They identified affect patterns that differentiate patients with borderline personality disorder (BPD) from patients with dysthymic disorder (DD); with higher dysregulation, externalization, and disorganization in patients with BPD, and higher affective availability and reality-focused coping in patients with DD (Conklin et al., 2006). Affect patterns have also been related to behavioral criteria, such as increased past suicide attempts (with lower positive affect, socialized negative affect, reality-focused responses, avoidant defenses, and with higher intense negative affect and externalizing defenses) and past hospitalizations (with lower socialized negative affect, reality-focused responses, and with higher intense negative affect; Westen et al., 1997).

Jones and colleagues have evaluated psychotherapy process by having independent evaluators rate videotaped psychotherapy sessions using a Q-sort procedure (Jones, 2000). This research has shown differences in affective experience as a function of treatment type; psychodynamic therapy was found to elicit more affective experience in sessions as compared to cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT; Jones & Pulos, 1993). However, interpersonal therapy (IPT) was not found to elicit more affective experience in sessions than CBT (Coombs, Coleman, & Jones, 2002). Jones and Pulos (1993) also showed differences in outcome as a function of affective experience; although negative affect correlated negatively with favorable outcome in CBT, it correlated positively with therapist ratings of outcome in psychodynamic therapy. In a separate study of CBT and IPT, higher observer-rated painful negative affect in the treatment was negatively related to greater collaborative emotional exploration and to favorable outcome in these treatments (Coombs et al., 2002). Taken together, this research suggests that the degree to which the presence of painful negative affect impedes favorable outcome may differ as function of treatment type, with the presence of negative affect potentially enriching psychodynamic therapy while impeding CBT and IPT.

Although these research programs have made invaluable contributions to our understanding of the affective experience between patient and therapist during sessions, each has examined this phenomenon only to the degree to which such experiences were represented in specific factors among the breadth of many psychotherapy experiences being evaluated in these treatments. As a result, the specific affective communication between patient and therapist has not been evaluated in depth. The ability to measure such phenomenon with greater specificity would be a great benefit to psychotherapy research.

The Present Study

The present study examined initial data on a self-report questionnaire for therapists evaluating an affective communication created between the patient and the therapist. The primary aim of the first experiment was to establish the psychometric properties of the Affective Communication Questionnaire (ACQ). The ACQ was administered to a large sample of therapists, each rating a single patient, in order to examine the measure's reliability through the internal consistency of its component structure in a sample in which each rating represents an independent observation. We hypothesized that the ACQ would have a cohesive factor structure representing distinct and interpretable domains of affective experience in sessions, both in terms of intensity and valence. The aims of the second experiment were (a) to examine the construct validity of the measure in the context of a nomological network by demonstrating its relationship to other measures of similar constructs, such as transference, countertransference, and affect regulation and experience, and (b) to establish the measure's external validity through its relationship to independent measures of patient functioning, such as personality symptomatology, attachment variables, and retention in psychotherapy. We hypothesized that ACQ factors would positively relate to similar or near neighbor constructs. Finally, we were interested in evaluating whether ACQ factors differ between types of treatment, with prominent affective characteristics expected to mirror the putative foci of that treatment's early stages. Specifically, we hypothesized that transference focused psychotherapy (TFP) therapists would identify more negative affect in the first 4 months of treatment, while dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) therapists would identify more engagement in treatment. Taken together, our study aimed to validate a measure of the affective experience between patient and therapist that reflects both clinical richness and psychometric rigor.

Experiment 1: Internal Consistency of the ACQ

Method

Participants

The 81 participants in the present study were comprised of two samples of therapists, each rating a single patient. The first sample comprised of 65 therapists in training who filled out measures, including the ACQ, on a "difficult patient" of their choice with whom they were currently working. Their participation was part of a larger unrelated study evaluating the characteristics of psychotherapists that relate to positive working alliance. Therapists were recruited and participated online through clinical listserves and were compensated with a \$25 gift card. This sample consisted of 55 female and 10 male therapists of eclectic theoretical orientations, with 53.9% having psychodynamic, 50.8% having cognitive-behavioral, 23.0% having humanistic, 21.5% having family systems, and 12.3% having other (primarily IPT and DBT) as the orientation they "often" or "always" used (though the categories were not mutually exclusive). Most therapists were currently in training in doctoral clinical psychology programs (47.7% Ph.D. and 29.2% Psy.D.), though a minority also attended school or counseling psychology programs at the doctoral level (15.4%) or the master's level (4.6%), as well as psychiatry residency programs (3.1%). Most therapists were late in their training, in the 5th (35.4%) or 6th year and beyond (21.5%), though some therapists were earlier in their training (3.1% in the 1st, 15.4% in the 2nd, 13.8% in the 3rd, and 10.8% in the 4th year).

The second sample consisted of 16 therapists treating patients in a randomized controlled trial for BPD at a large university-affiliated medical center. The 16 therapists were of diverse theoretical orientations, with six therapists practicing TFP, six therapists practicing a psychodynamically oriented supportive psychotherapy (SPT), and four therapists practicing DBT. All were experienced therapists, with between 2 and 15 years of experience treating patients with BPD, and specific training in their respective manualized treatments (see Clarkin, Levy, Lenzenweger, & Kernberg, 2007 and Levy et al., 2006 for additional therapist characteristics). In the present study, therapists' ACQ ratings of a single patient were selected; because ratings were used to establish the measure's reliability, it did not matter which of the many patients rated was chosen, as long as each rating represented an independent observation. For consistency, we chose to include the first patient on the therapists' caseload who was randomized and treated for a minimum of 6 months (to ensure they had enough experience with the patient to make accurate ratings).

Measures

Affective Communication Questionnaire. The ACQ (Meehan, 2004) is a 28-item self-report measure that asks therapists to rate their patients in terms of the degree to which they felt enlivened and engaged by them, the nature of the affect experienced in sessions with the patients, and the degree to which patients imbued their language with affect. Each statement is rated on a 5-point scale, ranging from 1 (*not true*) to 5 (*very true*), in terms of how much the given statements characterized the therapist's work with the patient.

The 28 items in the measure were derived from clinical and empirical literature that focused on implicit communication of affect in the therapeutic context, most notably the three channels of communication described by Kernberg (1975, 1984), the communicated transference described by Freedman and Berzofsky (1995), referential activity described by Bucci (1997), and interactional structures described by Jones (2000). Items were designed to measure the affective experience cocreated between patient and therapist, and thus they evaluate multiple levels of this affective experience in terms of intensity and valence, including how both patient and therapist feel ("I find that this patient brings a full range of emotions to sessions," "I find that this patient tends to stir up mostly negative feelings in me"), think ("I notice this patient often referring back to emotionally meaningful moments in previous sessions," "I tend to think about this patient quite a bit in between our sessions"), and act ("I often experience the patient's language as repetitive and monotonous," "I find it hard to give this patient my full attention"). Items were written to be unambiguous and easily identifiable experiences for therapists of all theoretical orientations, and thus could be used in a wide range of clinical contexts in which the affective quality of the relationship could be evaluated. Several senior clinicians were then asked to critique the items in terms of clarity and clinical relevance, which led to further editing and refinement of the items, until agreement was reached on their clarity and comprehensiveness for capturing the target construct.

Results

Component Structure of the ACQ

To identify the component structure of the ACQ, a principal component analysis (PCA) with varimax rotation was conducted on the questionnaire items. Because our sample size

was less than 150, we adopted a conservative standard of including only those items with loadings of 0.60, as recommend by Stevens (2002). Five main factors emerged using Kaiser's criteria of eigenvalues greater than 1, accounting for 68.90% of the variance in scores. However, scree testing indicated a four-factor solution, and the fifth factor only included one item with a loading greater than 0.60. Due to the strong interpretability and internal consistency of the first four factors, indicating that they represent cohesive constructs, a four-factor solution was retained (see Table 1).

As indicated in Table 1, the first component included eight items representing therapists experiencing their patients and themselves as each feeling *disengaged* from the treatment ($\alpha = .91$). The second component included five items representing the therapist experiencing a *full range of emotion* in the treatment ($\alpha = .88$). The third component included four items representing the therapist experiencing a predominance of *negative affect* in the treatment ($\alpha = .77$). The fourth component included three items representing therapists experiencing their patients and themselves as feeling *enlivened* in the treatment ($\alpha = .80$).

Table 1
Component Structure of the Affective Communication Questionnaire

Components	1	2	3	4
Component 1: disengaged				
13. My mind often wanders to things other than what this patient is talking.	.83			
10. I often feel bored during sessions with this patient.	.80			
14. I don't feel fully engaged with this patient during sessions.	.73			
3. I find it hard to give this patient my full attention.	.72			
25. I often experience the patient's language as repetitive and monotonous.	.68			
4. I often experience the patient's language as stagnant.	.68			
20. I often have difficulty remembering what happened in the last session with this patient.	.67			
12. I often experience the patient's language as barren.	.65			
Component 2: full range of emotions				
1. I often experience the patient's descriptions of events as full of emotion.		.77		
5R. I often experience this patient as showing very little emotion, even when describing what sound like very emotional events.		-.77		
16R. I often experience this patient as emotionally constricted.		-.75		
21. I experience this patient as very emotionally present in sessions.		.74		
8. I find that this patient brings a full range of emotions to sessions.		.72		
Component 3: negative affect				
19R. I find that this patient tends to stir up warm, positive feelings in me as well as frustrated, angry feelings.			-.74	
9. I find that this patient tends to stir up mostly negative feelings in me.			.68	
28. I find this patient difficult to engage during sessions.			.62	
27. I find that this patient brings mostly negative emotions to sessions.			.60	
Component 4: enlivened				
24. The things this patient says tend to stick with me well after our sessions.				.82
22. I tend to think about this patient quite a bit in between our sessions.				.76
23. I find this patient stimulating to work with.				.64
Eigenvalue	10.87	2.85	2.57	1.91
Cumulative % of variance	38.80	48.98	58.14	64.97

As for the relationship between factors, as shown in Table 2, the correlations were in expected directions, with *full range* and *enlivened* positively correlated to one another, and each negatively correlated with *negative affect* and *disengaged*. The components were found to have moderate-to-large correlations with one another, with coefficients ranging from .24 to .57. The fact that many of these correlations were strong indicates that these factors were interrelated, and therefore independence could not be assumed among these components. Although the dependence between components was high, it was not inconsistent with theoretical expectations.

Experiment 2: Construct Validity of the ACQ

Method

Participants

Participants in the present study were therapists treating patients in a randomized controlled trial (RCT) for BPD at a large university-affiliated medical center, as discussed in Experiment 1. Patients were randomized to one of the three treatment conditions for a no-cost 1-year outpatient treatment: TFP, SPT, and DBT. To meet criteria for the RCT, patients must have met at least five of the nine *DSM-IV* criteria for BPD, must not have met criteria for schizophrenia or any psychotic disorders, bipolar disorder, organic pathology, or mental retardation, and have been between the ages of 18 and 50. Ninety patients with BPD were randomized to one of the three treatment cells for a 1-year treatment (see Clarkin et al., 2007, as well as Levy et al., 2006, for a full description of the study design).

In the present study, therapists from the three treatments retrospectively filled out self-report questionnaires on their patients treated in the RCT. Sixteen therapists from the three treatments completed questionnaires retrospectively on 73 patients: 4 DBT therapists on 28 patients, 6 SPT therapists on 19 patients, and 6 TFP therapists on 26 patients. One patient was excluded because she met criteria for a psychotic disorder, two patients were not rated because their therapist was deceased prior to the beginning of the present study, and 14 patients dropped out of treatment too soon for therapists to rate the quality of the therapeutic relationship. Therapists were asked to rate what was typical of first 4 months of the treatment. These ratings were then compared to baseline assessments of personality disorder symptoms (International Personality Disorder Examination [IPDE]) and attachment variables (Narrative Coherence and Reflective Function on the Adult Attachment Interview [AAI]).

Table 2
Correlations Between Affective Communication Questionnaire Components

Components	Disengaged	Full range	Negative affect	Enlivened
Disengaged	—	-.57**	.49**	-.46**
Full range		—	-.44**	.24*
Negative affect			—	-.39**
Enlivened				—

* Significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). ** Significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Measures

Affective Communication Questionnaire. As in Experiment 1, the ACQ (Meehan, 2004) asks therapists to rate their patients along the aforementioned dimensions. The factor structure from Experiment 1 was retained, with alpha values reported for these factors in the present sample: disengaged ($\alpha = .91$), full range of emotion ($\alpha = .82$), negative affect ($\alpha = .73$), and enlivened in the treatment ($\alpha = .85$).

Therapist-Rated Comparison Measures

Psychotherapy Relationship Questionnaire

The Psychotherapy Relationship Questionnaire (PRQ; Westen, 2000; Bradley et al., 2005) is a 90-item self-report measure that asks therapists to rate the thoughts, feelings, and behaviors expressed by their patients in order to characterize the pattern of transference in the therapeutic relationship.

Previous research has identified six transference factors (with alpha values reported for these factors in the present sample): hostile ($\alpha = .94$; e.g., “Feels critical of the therapist”), narcissistic ($\alpha = .80$; e.g., “Needs excessive admiration from the therapist”), compliant or anxious preoccupied ($\alpha = .64$; e.g., “Is overly compliant”), positive working alliance ($\alpha = .75$; e.g., “Works hard in therapy”), avoidant or dismissing attachment ($\alpha = .69$; e.g., “Tries hard not to feel needy or dependent in therapy”), and sexualized ($\alpha = .69$; e.g., “Is sexually attracted to the therapist”).

Countertransference Questionnaire

The Countertransference Questionnaire (CTQ; Zittel & Westen, 2003; Betan et al., 2005) is a 79-item self-report measure that asks therapists to rate their patients in terms of the emotional and countertransference reactions evoked in the therapist during the treatment.

Previous research has identified eight countertransference factors (with alpha values reported for these factors in the present sample): hostile/mistreated ($\alpha = .95$; e.g., “I feel criticized by him/her”), helpless/inadequate ($\alpha = .88$; e.g., “I feel incompetent/inadequate working with him/her”), positive/satisfying ($\alpha = .89$; e.g., “S/he is one of my favorite patients”), parental/protective ($\alpha = .85$; e.g., “I feel like I want to protect him/her”), overwhelmed/disorganized ($\alpha = .87$; e.g., “I feel overwhelmed by his or her needs”), special/overinvolved ($\alpha = .77$; e.g., “I disclose my feelings with him/her more than other patients”), sexualized ($\alpha = .67$; e.g., “I feel sexually attracted to him/her”), and disengaged ($\alpha = .73$; e.g., “My mind often wanders to things other than what she is talking about”).

Affect Regulation and Experience Q-SORT, Questionnaire Version

The Affect Regulation and Experience Q-Sort, Questionnaire Version (AREQ-QV; Westen et al., 1997; Conklin et al., 2006) is a 98-item self-report measure that asks therapists to rate their patients along multiple dimensions of affective experience and affect regulation. Therapists were asked to rate what was typical of their patient’s affect during the first 4 months of the treatment.

Previous research has identified four affect regulation factors (with alpha values reported for these factors in the present sample): emotional avoidance ($\alpha = .82$; e.g., “Tends to have difficulty acknowledging feeling sad”), externalization ($\alpha = .84$; e.g., “Tends to lash out at

others when distressed or angry”), healthy coping ($\alpha = .88$; e.g., “Able to anticipate problems and develop realistic plans for dealing with them”), and passive avoidance ($\alpha = .70$; e.g., “Tends to remain at home or restrict travel or activities when distressed”). Four affective experience factors have previously been identified as well: negative affect ($\alpha = .76$; e.g., “Tends to feel guilty”), affect availability ($\alpha = .69$; e.g., “Displays emotion appropriate in quality and intensity to the situation at hand”), emotional dysregulation ($\alpha = .82$; e.g., “Feelings tend to change rapidly from moment to moment”), and positive affect ($\alpha = .41$; e.g., “Tends to feel excited or energized”).

Patient Comparison Measures

International Personality Disorder Examination

The IPDE (Loranger, 1995) is a semistructured diagnostic interview for diagnosing personality disorders. It consists of 99 items arranged in six categories (e.g., Self or Work), along with a detailed scoring manual (Loranger et al., 1994). The IPDE generates probable (subthreshold number of *DSM-IV* criteria met) and definite diagnoses for each of the *DSM-IV* diagnoses. It also generates dimensional scores for each diagnosis by adding the ratings on all the criteria composing a diagnosis. Reliability of assessment interviews indicated good-to-excellent levels of interrater reliability; the kappa for BPD diagnosis was .64 and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) for dimensional criteria ratings was .86. All kappa and ICC coefficients were in the good-to-excellent range (Fleiss, 1971).

Adult Attachment Interview

The AAI (George, Kaplan, & Main, 1985) is a semistructured clinical interview designed to elicit thoughts, feelings, and memories about early attachment experiences, and to assess the individual’s state of mind or internal working model with regard to early attachment relationships. The AAI was transcribed verbatim and coded using subscales ratings. This study focused on the Narrative Coherence subscale of the AAI, which assesses the subject’s capacity to provide a succinct yet thorough and organized description of early attachment experiences that are supported by specific and relevant examples. The Narrative Coherence subscale has been found to be the best predictor of attachment security, $r = .96, p < .001$ (Waters, Treboux, Fyffe, & Crowell, 2001). Raters were blind to all identifying characteristics of the subjects, and reliability was established with different raters coding a subset of each other’s transcripts ($n = 22, ICC = .88$).

Reflective Function

The AAI was also scored with on reflective function (RF; Fonagy, Steele, Steele, & Target, 1998), an 11-point scale that evaluates the quality of mentalization in the context of attachment relationships. Passages are rated on a scale from -1 (negative reflective function, concrete, totally barren of mentalization, or grossly distorting of the mental states of others) to 9 (exceptional reflective function, complex, elaborate, or original reasoning about mental states), and these scores are then aggregated to provide an overall score for the transcript. Raters were blind to all identifying characteristics of the subjects, and reliability was established with different raters coding a subset of each other’s transcripts ($n = 26, ICC = .86$).

Results

Sample Characteristics

The 73 participants rated by their therapists in this study included 67 women and 6 men. Participants were a mean age of 31.0 years ($SD = 7.9$) and 66% were White, 10% African American, 10% Hispanic, 7% Asian, 4% mixed ethnicity or race, and 4% other. In terms of marital status, 8% were married, 13% were living with a partner, 44% were divorced, and 24% were in relationship. Participants were fairly well educated, with 49% having a 4-year college degree and 88% having completed some college, but underemployed, with 62% in some employment and 33% in full-time employment. No significant differences were found between this subset of 73 patients and the 17 patients not evaluated in terms of demographic variables, age, $t(88) = -.22, ns$; sex, $\chi^2(1) = .03, ns$; ethnicity, $\chi^2(5) = 3.10, ns$, or in terms of level of psychopathology, Time 1 total IPDE symptoms, $t(88) = .13, ns$.

Construct Validity of the ACQ

Cronbach and Meehl (1955) have argued that the construct validity of a measure must be demonstrated in the context of a nomological network by demonstrating the relationship of that measure to other measures of similar constructs. Therefore the ACQ components were correlated with measures of transference (PRQ; Westen, 2000), countertransference (CTQ; Zittel & Westen, 2003), and affect regulation and experience (AREQ; Conklin et al., 2006).

Transference. Table 3 shows the correlation between the ACQ and PRQ components, with hypothesized relationships to similar or near neighbor constructs indicated in bold. Overall, the data strongly support the hypothesized relationships (e.g., positive working alliance correlating with the relationship being characterized as enlivened and with a fuller range of affect; avoidant or dismissing attachment correlating with the relationship being characterized as disengaged and with more negative affect). In terms of relationships not hypothesized, patients rated high on complaint or anxious preoccupation, although expected to withdraw in sessions, creating a predominantly disengaged climate (only a trend-level association), instead had relationships predominantly characterized by negative affect. Conversely, although patients rated high on hostility had relationships strongly characterized by negative affect, as expected, hostility was also associated with a disengaged emotional climate. Thus there seems to be more covert expression of affect in overt hostility than hypothesized, while there is more overt expression of affect in covert anxious preoccupation than hypothesized.

Further, although patients rated as narcissistic did have relationships characterized by negative affect, as expected, narcissistic transference was also associated with a more disengaged emotional climate and yet, surprisingly, therapist reported feeling more enlivened. Also contrary to expectations was the finding that therapists experiencing their patients as sexualized correlated with therapists experiencing more negative affect and yet also a more enlivened emotional climate.

Countertransference. Table 3 also shows the correlation between the ACQ and CTQ components, with hypothesized relationships to similar or near-neighbor constructs indicated in bold. Overall, the data strongly support the hypothesized relationships (e.g., positive countertransference correlating with the relationship being characterized as more enlivened and with a fuller range of affect; disengaged countertransference correlating with the relationship being characterized as more disengaged and with greater negative

Table 3
Correlations Between ACQ and Transference, Countertransference, and Affect Components, Total DSM-IV Personality Disorder Cluster Symptoms, and AAI Ratings

Components	Disengaged	Full range	Negative affect	Enlivened
Transference				
Hostile	.28*	-.10	.60**	.22
Narcissistic	.27*	.00	.43**	.30*
Preoccupied	.23	-.11	.29*	.11
Positive alliance	-.28*	.32**	-.35**	.52**
Avoidant/dismissing	.44**	-.28*	.49**	.04
Sexualized	.19	-.07	.38**	.25*
Countertransference				
Hostile/mistreated	.35**	-.17	.79**	.12
Helpless/inadequate	.36**	-.23	.67**	.15
Positive/satisfying	-.35**	.44**	-.62**	.44**
Parental/protective	-.07	.20	-.32**	.36**
Overwhelmed/disorganized	-.02	.15	.29*	.55**
Special/overinvolved	-.08	.22	-.13	.62**
Sexualized	.16	.06	.17	.29*
Disengaged	.81**	-.49**	.51**	-.41**
Affect regulation				
Emotional avoidance	.40**	-.31**	.52**	-.02
Externalization	.22	-.07	.57**	.13
Healthy coping	-.14	.21	-.39**	.32**
Passive avoidance	.19	-.19	.13	-.14
Affective experience				
Negative affect	.14	-.19	.39**	-.09
Affect availability	-.59**	.70**	-.62**	.30**
Emotional dysregulation	.17	.07	.44**	.26*
Positive affect	-.38**	.54**	-.49**	.43**
DSM-IV personality disorder cluster symptoms				
Cluster A ^a	.12	-.13	.33*	.03
Cluster B ^b	-.04	-.03	-.07	-.08
Cluster C ^c	.13	-.12	-.25*	-.29*
AAI ratings				
Coherence ^d	-.09	.23	-.28*	.13
Reflective function ^e	-.08	.00	-.15	-.09

Note. Hypothesized correlations in bold. ACQ = Affective Communication Questionnaire; DSM-IV = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision; AAI = Adult Attachment Interview; IPDE = International Personality Disorder Examination.

^a Partial correlations controlling for Cluster B and C scores on a subset of 65 subjects for whom both IPDE and ACQ data were available. ^b Partial correlations controlling for Cluster A and C scores on a subset of 65 subjects for whom both IPDE and ACQ data were available. ^c Partial correlations controlling for Cluster A and B scores on a subset of 65 subjects for whom both IPDE and ACQ data were available. ^d Pearson correlations on a subset of 59 subjects for whom both AAI and coherence data were available. ^e Pearson correlations on a subset of 70 subjects for whom both AAI and reflective function data were available.

* Significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). ** Significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

affect). To highlight some surprising nonhypothesized relationships, therapists' feeling countertransference disorganized by, overinvolved with, and sexually attracted to the patient each correlated with therapists' feeling more enlivened in the treatment. Lastly, while therapists' feeling countertransference mistreated and made to feel inadequate did have relationships characterized by negative affect, not hypothesized but consistent

with clinical theory was the finding that each was also associated with a disengaged emotional climate.

Affect regulation and experience. Table 3 also shows the correlation between the ACQ and AREQ components, with hypothesized relationships to similar or near-neighbor constructs indicated in bold. Overall, the data strongly support the hypothesized relationships (e.g., patient positive affect and greater affect availability correlating with the relationship being characterized as more enlivened and having a more full range of emotional expression; patient negative affect correlating with the relationship being characterized as having more negative affect). To highlight some nonhypothesized relationships, although patients rated high on emotional avoidance did have relationships characterized by a disengaged emotional climate, it was also associated with more negative affect. Lastly, while patients rated as emotionally dysregulated did have relationships characterized by negative affect, a finding not hypothesized but consistent with clinical theory was that dysregulation was also associated with therapists feeling more enlivened.

Comparison of ACQ to Patient Variables

Despite the strong relationship demonstrated between the ACQ and measures of transference, countertransference, and affect regulation, a limitation of this methodology is that ratings on these questionnaires may not be independent of one another, in that all are based on therapist report. Therefore, to demonstrate the external validity of the ACQ, components were also compared to independent measures of patient functioning.

ACQ and personality disorder symptoms. First, as shown in Table 3, ACQ components were compared to the total *DSM-IV* personality disorder cluster symptoms as rated by an independent evaluator on the IPDE, with hypothesized relationships to similar or near-neighbor constructs indicated in bold. Because of the high comorbidity of Axis II pathology in patients diagnosed with BPD, symptoms were analyzed at the level of clusters (with the “odd or eccentric” symptoms of schizoid, schizotypal, and paranoid personality disorders in Cluster A; the “dramatic, emotional, or erratic” symptoms of borderline, antisocial, histrionic, and narcissistic personality disorders in Cluster B; and the “anxious or fearful” symptoms of avoidant, dependent, and obsessive–compulsive personality disorders in Cluster C; American Psychiatric Association, 2000). The total number of symptoms in each cluster was summed, and partial correlations were used to control for comorbidity across clusters. This same methodology was used by Westen and colleagues (Bradley et al., 2005; Betan et al., 2005) to demonstrate the construct validity of the PRQ and CTQ measures.

Higher Cluster A symptoms were significantly associated with therapists experiencing the relationship with the patient as being predominated by negative affect, but, contrary to expectations, this cluster was not associated with experiencing a more disengaged climate and less full range of emotions. Further, there was a significant relationship between Cluster C symptoms and the therapist experiencing the relationship as less enlivened and with less negative affect, but, contrary to expectations, this cluster was not associated with a more disengaged emotional climate. Notably, ACQ components were not found to relate to Cluster B symptoms, which is contrary to previous research (Betan et al., 2005; Bradley et al., 2005).

ACQ and attachment variables. Second, as also shown in Table 3, ACQ components were compared to ratings of coherence and RF on the AAI by an independent coder, with hypothesized relationships identified based on the item content of the components.

Higher coherence was significantly associated with therapists experiencing the relationship with the patient as having less negative affect, although contrary to expectations coherence was not associated with a more enlivened emotional climate, and there was only a trend toward a fuller range of affect. Contrary to expectations, higher RF was not associated with feeling more enlivened or a fuller range of affect.

ACQ and treatment completion. As shown in Table 4, ACQ components were compared to completer status at 12 months of treatment in the RCT, with all components hypothesized to be related to treatment completion. For patients who completed treatment, their therapists characterized the treatments as having more negative affect, and there was a trend toward having a fuller range of emotions as compared to the treatments of patients who dropped out, although, contrary to expectations, completion was not associated with a less disengaged and more enlivened emotional climate.

ACQ and treatment group differences. Finally, as shown in Table 5, analysis of variance with post hoc testing (Bonferroni) for differences between treatment groups on ACQ factors indicated that TFP therapists were found to report experiencing more negative affect in the treatment as compared to DBT and SPT therapists. In addition, SPT therapists were found to report feeling more enlivened in the treatments than TFP therapists, and each reported feeling more enlivened in the treatments than DBT therapists. No other between-group differences were found on the ACQ factors.

General Discussion

The present study examined initial data on a therapist-report measure for evaluating the affective communication created between the patient and the therapist during treatment. We evaluated the structure of the ACQ using PCA, showing the ACQ to have coherent dimensions and a strong relationship to similar constructs. Four dimensions of affective communication were identified, with high internal consistency and interpretability, indicating that they represent cohesive constructs.

Further we found that the four affective communication dimensions related to transference, countertransference, and affect dimensions in predicted directions. There were two patterns of note across these relationships. First, components characterizing overt expression of negative affect in the treatment (e.g., hostility, being made to feel inadequate) were found to be related to more covert forms of affective communication (disengaged relatedness) than expected. Conversely, components characterizing more covert expression of affect in the treatment (e.g., avoidance, anxious preoccupation) were found to be related to more overt negativity in the affective communication than expected.

Table 4
Relationships Between Affective Communication Questionnaire Components and Completer Status

Components	Completer (<i>n</i> = 45)		Drop-out (<i>n</i> = 28)		<i>t</i> (71)
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	
Disengaged	2.19	.94	2.24	0.69	-0.25
Full range	3.04	.95	2.67	0.71	1.74
Negative affect	2.78	.88	3.25	0.74	-2.31*
Enlivened	2.69	.89	2.42	1.02	1.17

* Significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Table 5
Analysis of Variance of Treatment Group Differences on the Affective Communication Questionnaire

Components	TFP (<i>n</i> = 26)		DBT (<i>n</i> = 27)		SPT (<i>n</i> = 20)		<i>F</i> (2, 70)	Post hoc
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>		
Disengaged	2.47	0.69	2.06	0.82	2.13	1.03	1.69	
Full range	2.75	0.69	2.94	0.87	3.08	1.08	0.83	
Negative affect	3.37	0.72	2.77	0.89	2.75	0.85	4.52*	1 > 2, 3
Enlivened	2.67	0.65	1.95	0.89	3.27	0.87	15.58**	3 > 1 > 2

Note. TFP = transference focused psychotherapy; DBT = dialectical behavior therapy; SPT = supportive psychotherapy. * Significant at the 0.05 level. ** Significant at the 0.01 level.

This finding is consistent with Kernberg's (1975, 1984) assertion that negative affect and aggression are often communicated in both covert and overt forms, and that patients with personality disorders may oscillate between these modes of expression.

Second, feeling enlivened by treatment was not found to occur exclusively in therapeutic relationships that were predominated by positive transference and countertransference. Rather, intense and, at times, overwhelming affect, even if not necessarily positive in valence, may draw both the patient and the therapist into an interchange that feels very "live in the here and now." Contrary to expectations, patients presenting as emotionally dysregulated, and therapists' feeling disorganized by, overinvolved with, and sexual attraction toward patients each related to feeling more enlivened in the treatment. Each of these transference, countertransference, and affective dimensions involve experiences in which therapists feels flooded by emotion. A number of writers have noted that the transference and countertransference with BPD patients is frequently intense and stormy in a manner that can be experienced by the therapist as both engrossing and overwhelming, often leaving the therapist feeling intensely but ambivalently involved with the patient. However, findings did not simply indicate that more affect of any kind in the treatment correlates with feeling more enlivened in the treatment. Therapists whose countertransference experience of the patient left them feeling helpless, attacked, mistreated, and deskilled by the patient was associated with a disengaged emotional climate in treatment.

One example of this is the finding that patients with narcissistic transference patterns had relationships characterized by more negative affect and a more disengaged emotional climate, and yet therapists also noted feeling more enlivened. This apparent contradiction can be understood in the context of the object relational experience of these patients, whose unconscious affect-laden mental representations that are split off and not integrated with one another may vacillate during the course of treatment (or even within a session hour) (Kernberg, 1975). As a result, the affective experience of the clinician may vacillate as well, with moments of intense engagement followed by barren negativity.

This vacillation in the affective experience with the patient may be particularly true of sexual feelings in the therapeutic relationship with patients with BPD, which, contrary to the subtle longing seen in the therapies of higher functioning patients, is often expressed in overt, primitive, and highly chaotic ways (Gabbard, 1996; Kernberg, 1984). It may be the case that such sexuality is both alluring and unnerving to therapists, leaving them simultaneously feeling enlivened by and trying to defensively disengage from such feelings (see the case of "Nicole" in Diamond, Stovall-McClough, Clarkin, & Levy, 2003,

for a clinical illustration of this process). Future research attempting to replicate these findings in a nonpersonality disordered sample would be needed to further explore this question. Future research should also explore the impact of patient's and therapist's sex on processes related to sexual attraction.

It was also found that dimensions of affective communication related to independently assessed patient characteristics, specifically baseline assessments of personality disorder symptoms, attachment variables (Narrative Coherence on the AAI), and treatment completion. Negative affect was positively related to Cluster A symptoms but negatively related to Cluster C symptoms. Clinical experience with Cluster A symptomatology suggests that such treatments are characterized by object representations related to hateful persecution (Kernberg, 1975), and the present finding of heightened negative affect as well as Betan et al.'s (2005) finding of therapists' countertransferentially feeling criticized and mistreated by these patients are consistent with this clinical picture. In contrast, clinical experience with Cluster C symptomatology suggests that aggression is often defensively denied and yet often expressed in passive communications (Kernberg, 1975), and the present finding of low negative affect as well as therapists' characterizing the treatment as less enlivened is consistent with this clinical picture. Notably, ACQ components were not found to relate to Cluster B symptoms, although it should be noted that all subjects in this study met criteria for BPD, so ceiling effects may be obscuring the relationship between these variables. Future research should evaluate Betan et al.'s (2005) finding in a sample with more diverse personality disorders.

Negative affect was also negatively related to Narrative Coherence on the AAI, and there was a trend toward full range of affect being positively correlated with Narrative Coherence. This finding is consistent with previous research demonstrating that the quality and effectiveness of affect regulation in adults was significantly related to the developmental maturity of individuals' representations of self and significant others (Levy, 2000). In treatments that are predominated by negative affect, such strong emotion may interfere with the patient's capacity to construct a coherent narrative.

It was also found that therapists reporting less negative affect and a fuller range of emotions related to completion of treatment. This finding is consistent with Coombs et al.'s (2002) finding that collaborative emotional exploration was positively related to outcome. This is also consistent with literature suggesting that when patients and therapists each feel involved in a process in which a rich array of feelings are experienced and put into words, each look to continue this work to its completion (Bucci, 1997; Freedman & Berzofsky, 1995).

Lastly, consistent with expectations, TFP therapists showed more negative affect in the treatment as compared to SPT and DBT therapists. This finding is consistent with the treatment approach's emphasis on aggression and de-emphasis on the supporting and validating interventions characteristic of SPT and DBT, respectively. One unexpected finding was that DBT therapists reported feeling less enlivened in treatment than TFP therapists, who in turn felt less enlivened than SPT therapists (although this finding should be viewed cautiously in light of the small number of subjects in each treatment cell). Given that the focus of DBT is on supporting and bolstering "effective" functioning on the part of the patient, it would reason that DBT therapists would feel less enlivened by the "ineffective" behaviors and emotional displays of patients with BPD during the initial stage of treatment. In contrast, dynamic clinicians, with their focus on identifying and exploring maladaptive object relational patterns within the treatment, may feel enlivened by these same "ineffective" behaviors and emotional displays as "grist for the mill."

Future research with larger samples of therapists of varying orientations should further explore this question.

Study Limitations and Future Directions

As previously noted, despite the strong relationship demonstrated between the ACQ and measures of like constructs (transference, countertransference, and affect regulation), these ratings may not be independent of one another, in that all are based on therapist report. It should be noted that studies relying exclusively on self-report measures suffer from the same problem, and yet they are ubiquitous in our field (Westen & Weinberger, 2005). A strength of the present study was that the ACQ was related to independent measures of patient functioning (personality disorder symptoms, coherence, RF, treatment retention), although the relationships were of a lesser magnitude. However, such independent measures do not directly assess in-session characteristics and therefore would be expected to relate less strongly to ACQ factors. Future research should further the construct validity of the ACQ through a more stringent comparison to independent measures of in-session affective exchanges, such as observer ratings of transference and countertransference in videotaped sessions.

Further, because therapists were asked to rate characteristics of their patients, it may be difficult to tease apart patient variables from therapist variables. Although the construct of an affective communication was compared to independent measures of patient characteristics, there is no independent assessment of therapist characteristics that might impact findings. As the work of Dozier and colleagues (Dozier, Cue, & Barnett, 1994; Bernier & Dozier, 2002) has suggested, the attachment organization of the patient may interact with the attachment organization of the therapist. Future research should further evaluate the interaction between the patient's and the therapist's relational style or personality organization on the patient's functioning or change in treatment. Therapist variables may be particularly relevant in the second sample because each therapist in this study had been chosen based on his or her experience in working with patients with BPD. A therapist who finds working with patients with BPD gratifying must presumably be comfortable working with intense affect, both coming from the patient and within oneself. Therefore the therapist's own level of comfort with intense affect may be an important therapist characteristic to further evaluate.

Therapists in the second sample were asked to make retrospective assessments of their patients, which relies on the memory of the therapists to recall a treatment. However, therapists were provided with a brief demographic description of the patient and also asked to review their notes from the initial stages of treatment. Further, therapists were also asked to rate how difficult it was to remember this patient, and the modal score was 1 (*very easy*), with a strong positive skew in the distribution. Further, the relationship between therapist ratings and independent measures suggests the validity of these ratings.

Another limitation of this study concerns the use of PCA on a small sample to assess the construct of affective communication. There are a number of standards suggested for adequate sample size in PCA, most related either to overall sample size or to number of variables in the measure to be factored. By each standard, this study meets what would be considered the most minimal requirements (sample size = 81, participant-to-component ratio = 20:1, and participant-to-variable ratio = 3:1). However, others have suggested that adequacy of sample size should be determined by the results of communalities of the components (Field, 2005). As can be seen from the component loadings on rotated component matrix for the ACQ (see Table 1), the communalities tended to be high, and

we adopted a conservative standard of including only items with loadings of 0.60 or more in a component, which increases the stability of the component structure (Stevens, 2002). Further, in the present study, the strong internal consistency and clear interpretability of the component structure contraindicates the possibility of having arrived at an arbitrary component solution. Nonetheless, future research should test this component structure in a larger sample using confirmatory factor analysis.

It should also be noted that the construct validity of the ACQ was assessed in a sample with personality disorders. Future research should evaluate whether the identified convergent relationships replicate in a patient population with more neurotic levels, or whether the construct of an affective communication is as relevant to treatment course and outcome in a patient population with nonpersonality disorders.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed., text revision). Washington, DC: Author.
- Bernier, A., & Dozier, M. (2002). The client-counselor match and the corrective emotional experience: Evidence from interpersonal and attachment research. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, *39*, 32–43. doi:10.1037/0033-3204.39.1.32
- Betan, E., Heim, A. K., Conklin, C. Z., & Westen, D. (2005). Countertransference phenomena and personality pathology in clinical practice: An empirical investigation. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *162*, 890–898. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.162.5.890
- Bion, W. R. (1961). A theory of thinking. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis*, *43*, 306–310.
- Blatt, S. J., & Ford, R. Q. (1994). *Therapeutic change: An object relations perspective*. New York, NY: Plenum.
- Bradley, R., Heim, A., & Westen, D. (2005). Transference phenomena in the psychotherapy of personality disorders: An empirical investigation. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *186*, 342–349. doi:10.1192/bjp.186.4.342
- Bucci, W. (1997). *Psychoanalysis and cognitive science*. New York, NY: Guilford.
- Clarkin, J. F., Levy, K. N., Lenzenweger, M. F., & Kernberg, O. F. (2007). Evaluating three treatments for borderline personality disorder: A multiwave study. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *164*, 922–928. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.164.6.922
- Conklin, C. Z., Bradley, R., & Westen, D. (2006). Affect regulation in borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *194*, 69–77. doi:10.1097/01.nmd.0000198138.41709.4f
- Coombs, M., Coleman, D., & Jones, E. E. (2002). Working with feelings: the importance of emotion in the NIMH Treatment of Depression Collaborative Research Program. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, *39*, 233–244.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Meehl, P. E. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, *52*, 281–302. doi:10.1037/h0040957
- Diamond, D., & Blatt, S. J. (1994). Internal working models of attachment and psychoanalytic theories of the representational world: A comparison and critique. In M. Sperling & W. Berman (Eds.), *Attachment in adults: Clinical and developmental perspectives* (pp. 72–97). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Diamond, D., Stovall-McClough, C., Clarkin, J. F., & Levy, K. N. (2003). Patient-therapist attachment in the treatment of borderline personality disorder. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic*, *67*, 227–259. doi:10.1521/bumc.67.3.227.23433
- Dozier, M., Cue, K. L., & Barnett, L. (1994). Clinicians as caregivers: The role of attachment organization in treatment. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *62*, 793–800. doi:10.1037/0022-006X.62.4.793
- Fazio, R. H., Jackson, J. R., Dunton, B. C., & Williams, C. J. (1995). Variability in automatic

- activation as an unobtrusive measure of racial attitudes: A bona fide pipeline? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69, 1013–1027. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.69.6.1013
- Field, A. (2005). *Discovering statistics using SPSS* (2nd ed.). London, England: Sage Publications.
- Fleiss, J. L. (1971). Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters. *Psychological Bulletin*, 76, 378–382. doi:10.1037/h0031619
- Fonagy, P., Gergely, G., Jurist, E., & Target, M. (2002). *Affect regulation, mentalization, and the development of the self*. New York, NY: Other Press.
- Fonagy, P., Steele, M., Steele, H., & Target, M. (1998). Reflective-functioning manual: Version 5.0 for application to the adult attachment interview. Unpublished manual, University College, London.
- Freedman, N., & Berzofsky, M. (1995). Shape of the communicated transference in difficult and not-so-difficult patients: Symbolized and desymbolized transference. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*, 12, 363–374. doi:10.1037/h0079700
- Gabbard, G. O. (1996). *Love and hate in the analytic setting*. New York, NY: Jason Aronson.
- George, C., Kaplan, N., & Main, M. (1985). *The Berkeley adult attachment interview*. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley.
- Greenberg, L. E., & Safran, J. D. (1987). *Emotion in psychotherapy*. New York, NY: Guilford.
- Jones, E. (2000). *Therapeutic action: A guide to psychoanalytic therapy*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.
- Jones, E. E., & Pulos, S. M. (1993). Comparing the process in psychodynamic and cognitive-behavioral therapies. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 61, 306–316.
- Jurist, E. L. (2005). Mentalized affectivity. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*, 22, 426–444. doi:10.1037/0736-9735.22.3.426
- Kernberg, O. F. (1975). *Borderline conditions and pathological narcissism*. New York, NY: Jason Aronson.
- Kernberg, O. F. (1984). *Severe personality disorders: Psychotherapeutic strategies*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Levy, K. N. (2000). Attachment style, representations of self and others, and affect regulation: Implications for the experience of depression. *Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B. The Sciences & Engineering*, 60(9B), 4895.
- Levy, K. N., Meehan, K. B., Kelly, K. M., Reynoso, J. S., Weber, M., Clarkin, J. F., & Kernberg, O. F. (2006). Change in attachment patterns and reflective function in a randomized control trial of transference focused psychotherapy for borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 1027–1040. doi:10.1037/0022-006X.74.6.1027
- Loranger, A. W. (1995). *International Personality Disorder Examination (IPDE) manual*. White Plains, NY: Cornell Medical Center.
- Loranger, A. W., Sartorius, N., Andreoli, A., & Berger, P. (1994). The international personality disorder examination: The world health organization/alcohol, drug abuse, and mental health administration international pilot study of personality disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 51, 215.
- Mayes, L. C., & Cohen, D. J. (1992). The development of the capacity for imagination in early childhood. *The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child*, 47, 23–47.
- Meehan, K. B. (2004). Affective Communication Questionnaire. Unpublished manuscript, White Plains, NY: Cornell Medical Center.
- Pine, F. (1985). *Developmental theory and clinical process*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Stevens, J. P. (2002). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences* (4th ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Waters, E., Treboux, D., Fyffe, C., & Crowell, J. (2001). *Secure versus insecure and dismissing versus preoccupied attachment representation scored as continuous variables from AAI state of mind scales*. New York, NY: State University of New York, Stony Brook.
- Westen, D. (1990). Towards a revised theory of borderline object relations: Contributions of empirical research. *The International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 71, 661–693.

- Westen, D. (1998). The scientific legacy of Sigmund Freud: Toward a psychodynamically informed psychological science. *Psychological Bulletin*, *124*, 333–371. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.124.3.333
- Westen, D. (2000). *Psychotherapy Relationship Questionnaire*. Atlanta, GA: Departments of Psychology and Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University.
- Westen, D., & Gabbard, G. O. (2002a). Developments in cognitive neuroscience: I. Conflict, compromise, connectionism. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, *50*, 53–98. doi:10.1177/00030651020500011501
- Westen, D., & Gabbard, G. O. (2002b). Developments in cognitive neuroscience: II. Implications for theories of transference. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, *50*, 99–134. doi:10.1177/00030651020500011601
- Westen, D., Muderrisoglu, S., Fowler, C., Shedler, J., & Koren, D. (1997). Affect regulation and affective experience: Individual differences, group differences, and measurement using a Q-sort procedure. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *65*, 429–439. doi:10.1037/0022-006X.65.3.429
- Westen, D., & Weinberger, J. (2005). In praise of clinical judgment: Meehl's forgotten legacy. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *61*, 1257–1276. doi:10.1002/jclp.20181
- Winnicott, D. W. (1971). *Playing and reality*. London, England: Tavistock Publications.
- Zittel, C., & Westen, D. (2003). *The Countertransference Questionnaire*. Atlanta, GA: Departments of Psychology and Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University.

E-Mail Notification of Your Latest Issue Online!

Would you like to know when the next issue of your favorite APA journal will be available online? This service is now available to you. Sign up at <http://notify.apa.org/> and you will be notified by e-mail when issues of interest to you become available!